

Kristine M. Larsen (9228)
Jascha K. Clark (16019)
RAY QUINNEY & NEBEKER P.C.
36 South State Street, 14th Floor
P.O. Box 45385
Salt Lake City, Utah 84145-0385
Telephone: (801) 532-1500
Facsimile: (801) 532-7543
E-mail: klarsen@rqn.com
E-mail: jclark@rqn.com

Attorneys for Receiver, Maria E. Windham

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF UTAH**

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE
COMMISSION,

Plaintiff,

vs.

MARQUIS PROPERTIES, LLC, a Utah
Limited Liability Company, CHARD
DEUCHER, an individual, and RICHARD
CLATFELTER, an individual,

Defendants,

JESSICA DEUCHER, an individual,

Relief Defendant

And

HODGES HOLDING, LLC, and U.S. BANK
NATIONAL ASSOCIATION,

Intervenors.

**RECEIVER'S EIGHTH INTERIM FEE
APPLICATION FOR SERVICES
RENDERED FROM JULY 1, 2019
THROUGH SEPTEMBER 30, 2019
(Filed Under Seal)**

Case No. 2:16-cv-00040-JNP

Judge: Hon. Jill N. Parrish

Maria E. Windham, the Court-appointed receiver (the “Receiver”), acting through counsel Ray Quinney & Nebeker, P.C., respectfully submits this Receiver’s Eighth Interim Fee Application for Services Rendered from July 1, 2019 through September 30, 2019 and requests that the court approve the Receiver’s fees, Attorney Fees, and expenses identified in this Eighth Interim Fee Application and approve this Eighth Interim Fee Application for later payment upon receipt of sufficient settlement funds into the Receivership Account.

I. BACKGROUND REGARDING APPLICATION

On January 19, 2016, the Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”) filed suit against Chad Deucher (“Deucher”) and Marquis Properties, LLC (“Marquis Properties”) (collectively, the “Defendants”) and others, alleging Defendants were involved in an “ongoing offering fraud and Ponzi scheme.” On the motion of the SEC, the Court entered an asset freeze on January 20, 2016 (the “Asset Freeze Order”) that required all assets and books and records of the Defendants be preserved and frozen from further disposition.

During the proceedings in this case, it became evident that appointment of a receiver was necessary, even though the Defendants’ Accounting and preliminary investigation by the SEC suggested there were few assets remaining in Defendants’ possession. (*See, e.g.*, Dkt. No. 34.) On January 18, 2017, almost a year after the Court’s entry of the Asset Freeze Order, the Court entered the Order appointing Maria E. Windham, a partner at the law firm Ray Quinney & Nebeker P.C., as Receiver (the “Receivership Order”). As Receiver, Ms. Windham is ordered to marshal and preserve certain assets of the Defendants that were frozen by the January 20 Asset Freeze Order, plus all additional recoverable assets, which are defined as “assets of any other entities or individuals that: (a) are attributable to funds derived from investors or clients of the

Defendants; (b) are held in constructive trust for the Defendants; and/or (c) were fraudulently transferred by the Defendants” (the “Receivership Estate”). (*See* Receivership Order, Dkt. No. 110, at 1-2; Amended Order, Dkt. No. 182.)

The Court approved lawyers at the law firm of Ray Quinney & Nebeker to act as Counsel to the Receiver, (Dkt. No. 117), and Deiss Law to act as conflicts counsel (Dkt. No. 200). The law firm of Ray Quinney & Nebeker reduced the rate ordinarily charged by Ms. Windham to \$250/hr., and has charged the services of all counsel to the Receiver at a unified rate of \$285/hr. These rates reflect significant discounts from the rates regularly charged by the partners who have performed services as Receiver and counsel to the Receiver. Ray Quinney and Nebeker also reduced the rate charged for paralegal services to \$135/hr. and provided other discounts and complied with limitations on billing as set forth in the Billing Instructions for Receivers in Civil Actions Commenced by the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission. Moreover, Ray Quinney & Nebeker and the partners working on the case, including the Receiver, have undertaken substantial costs and risk of nonpayment for the benefit of the receivership estate while there are insufficient liquid assets in the bank accounts by fronting expenses and investing the Receiver’s time and counsel’s time required to manage a complex receivership and work to prevent continuation of the fraud. Despite this risk, Ray Quinney & Nebeker has not charged a contingency fee or otherwise increased its rates to reflect the risk of nonpayment. Deiss Law has also charged the same unified rates as Ray Quinney & Nebeker and undertaken risk of nonpayment for the benefit of the receivership estate without charging a contingency fee or otherwise increasing its rates to reflect risk of nonpayment.

The receivership has benefited and continues to benefit from the Receiver's consultation at substantially reduced rates with her lead counsel, Kristine Larsen, and with a variety of legal specialists, as necessary, at Ray Quinney & Nebeker and at Deiss Law. In addition, administrative professionals provided extensive and valuable assistance to the Receiver without charge.

This fee application covers work by the Receiver and her counsel at the law firms of Ray Quinney & Nebeker, P.C. and Deiss Law performed during the six month period from July 1, 2019 through September 30, 2019. During this time period, the following professionals at Ray Quinney & Nebeker performed services for the Receivership Estate at the identified rates:

- Receiver @ \$250/hr.
 - Maria E. Windham
- Counsel for the Receiver @ 285/hr.
 - Kristine Larsen
 - Jamie Sorenson
 - Brittany J. Merrill
- Paralegal @ \$135/hr.
 - Susan Seder

Additionally, from July 1, 2019 through September 30, 2019, the following professionals at Deiss Law performed services for the Receivership Estate under an agreement to charge the identified rates:

- Counsel for the Receiver @ \$285/hr.
 - Wes Felix
 - Matt Kaufmann
- Paralegal @ \$135/hr.

- Zach Abend

II. CASE STATUS

It is well-established that the Defendants operated a Ponzi scheme. In 2016, Deucher was criminally indicted for the federal crime of securities fraud. Deucher executed a Statement by Defendant in Advance of Plea of Guilty and Plea Agreement in his federal criminal case, which was accepted by the Court, on April 19, 2017. As part of the factual statement in support of his guilty plea, Deucher admitted that “beginning in and around March of 2010 and continuing to around February of 2016,” he “solicited approximately 170 investors to invest approximately \$16,000,000 in various real-estate based securities offerings secured with promissory notes.” *United States v. Deucher*, Case No. 2:16-CR-189-DN, Dkt. No. 50 (Statement of Defendant in Advance of Plea of Guilty and Plea Agreement Pursuant to Fed. R. Crim. P. 11(c)(1)(C) Report dated April 19, 2017.) Deucher further admitted that “in relation to those investments, without authority or knowledge of the investors, I used investor funds to pay other investors and I used the money for my own personal benefit and living expenses.” (*Id.*)

As described in detail in the Receiver’s status reports to date, the Receiver has not been able to locate significant liquid or physical assets in the Receivership Defendants’ possession with which to pay the costs of the receivership. However, the Receiver has been pursuing legal claims of significant value against certain third parties who received fraudulent transfers from Marquis Properties. The claims the Receiver has been pursuing include more than \$1 Million in claims for return of unlawful commissions paid to the transferees. The Receiver anticipates that payment of receivership expenses and any return to investors will be paid through resolution of

her legal claims against the various transferees who received fraudulent transfers or otherwise harmed the Receivership estate.

As described in the Receiver's status reports filed to date, the Receiver is currently pursuing her claims against various transferees through negotiation and, if necessary, through litigation.

As of September 30, 2019, a total of \$623,676.58 in settlement payments had been deposited in the Receivership account, and the Receiver had disbursed court-approved payments of professional fees and expenses out of the Receivership Account totaling \$624,576.07 from the aforementioned settlement payments and other deposits. Attached hereto as "Exhibit A" is a copy of the SEC's Standardized Fund Accounting Report ("SFAR") for the period from July 1, 2019 to September 30, 2019, which provides detail concerning the deposit of settlement funds, payment of fees and expenses, and other transactions in the Receivership Account since the June 30, 2019 end date of the Receiver's prior SFAR.

1. Fees of Receiver and Counsel

The fees for services rendered by the Receiver and by counsel at the law firm of Ray Quinney & Nebeker and Deiss Law during the period from July 1, 2019 through September 30, 2019 are detailed in the invoices attached hereto as "Exhibit B." The majority of the fees incurred by the Receivership Estate were incurred for negotiation and litigation of the Receiver's claims by the Receiver and her counsel.

In consideration of these services, the Receiver requests the Court approve the fees for the services performed by the Receiver from July 1, 2019 through September 30, 2019 in the amount of **\$6,500**. The Receiver further requests that the Court approve fees for services

performed by counsel during the same period in the amount of **\$49,078.50** (**\$41,194.50**¹ in attorney fees for services of Ray Quinney & Nebeker and **\$7,884.00**² in attorney fees for services of Deiss Law). Excluding the 20% holdback the Court applies to fee awards, the Receiver requests that the Court approve the Receiver's fees for the period from July 1, 2019 through September 30, 2019 in the amount of **\$5,200.00**, and attorneys' fees for the same period in the amount of **\$39,262.80** (**\$32,955.60** to Ray Quinney & Nebeker, PC, and **\$6,307.20** to Deiss Law).

2. Administrative Expenses

Throughout the Receiver's administration of the Receivership Estate, the Receiver has been sensitive to the lack of liquid assets. Nonetheless, it was necessary for the Receiver and her counsel to pay certain costs and expenses to carry out the Receiver's duties. In this Eighth Interim Fee Application, the Receiver therefore seeks reimbursement of the expenses identified in the attached Exhibit "C", incurred between July 1, 2019 and September 30, 2019. These expenses include domain registration fees for the receivership website. The sum of the expenses paid by the law firm of Ray Quinney & Nebeker from July 1, 2019 through September 30, 2019, for which the Receiver seeks reimbursement equals **\$0**. The sum of the expenses paid by Deiss Law from July 1, 2019 through September 30, 2019 for which the Receiver seeks reimbursement equals **\$0**. In total, the Receiver requests that the Court approve reimbursement of expenses paid by counsel during the same period in the amount of **\$0**. If the Court applies a 20% hold back to

¹This number is the sum of all of the fees charged by the Receiver's counsel at Ray Quinney & Nebeker during the relevant period for this case (\$1,633.50), *Windham v. Allen* (\$13,531.50), *Windham v. Corey* (\$243.00), *Windham v. Norville* (\$24,189.00), *Windham v. Shults* (\$135.00), *Windham v. Snyder* (\$54.00), *Windham v. Hill* (\$27.00), and *Windham v. Deucher* (\$1,381.50).

² This number is the sum of all of the fees charged by the Receiver's counsel at Deiss Law during the relevant period for *Windham v. Boyd* (\$0.00), *In re Jonathan Eborn* (\$0.00), *Windham v. Real Estate* (\$5,113.50), and *Windham v. Zurixx* (\$2,770.50).

expenses, the Court should approve reimbursement of **\$0** of expenses incurred between July 1, 2019 through September 30, 2019 (**\$0** to Ray Quinney & Nebeker and **\$0** to Deiss Law).

3. Amount of Unencumbered Funds

Funds in the Receivership Account as of September 30, 2019 amounted to \$3,906.77. The unpaid Receiver's fees, attorneys' fees and expenses incurred through September 30, 2019 to administer the Receivership exceed the funds presently recovered into the receivership account.

4. Summary of Administration of Funds

Since the last report of funds ending June 30, 2019 and the current September 30, 2019 report, the Receiver collected an additional \$15,169.99 into the receivership account having a previous balance of \$35,642.38. Between June 30, 2019 and September 30, 2019, the Receiver made court-approved payments out of the Receivership Account on the Receiver's Sixth Interim Fee Application for Receiver's fees (\$10,860.00) and Attorneys' fees (\$36,045.60). The balance in the receivership estate account on September 30, 2019 was **\$3,906.77**. The Court has approved payment on the Receiver's Seventh Interim Fee Application (Dkt No. 295) covering the Receiver's and her counsel's services from January 1, 2019 through June 30, 2019 when sufficient funds are available in the receivership account for payment. Payment permitted by that court order has not been made for Receiver's fees (\$7,320.00) or attorneys' fees (\$43,617.00) or expenses (\$93.60) because the account does not yet contain funds to cover the these fees and expenses.

5. Summary of Creditor Claims Proceedings

No creditor claims proceedings have begun. The Receiver will seek the Court's permission to institute creditor claims proceedings when she has collected assets sufficient to cover the costs of collecting settlements and administering a claims process, which the Receiver has estimated will be triggered when (and if) the Receiver's recoveries add up to \$1,000,000. The Receiver's settlements to date amount to a total of almost \$640,000 in expected payments.

6. Description of Receivership Estate Assets

- The Receiver has determined that the most valuable assets of the Receivership Estate are voidable transfer/fraudulent transfer claims and other claims against third persons. At the beginning of 2018, the Receiver filed litigation and/or entered into tolling agreements regarding \$5 million to \$6 million in claims against third parties. By September 30, 2019 the Receiver had received \$623,676.58 of the liquidated payments promised pursuant to the presently existing settlement agreements into the receivership account. She expects to receive the following additional monthly payments pursuant to currently existing settlement agreements:

Month Due	Payments Due by Month
10/2019	\$1055.55
11/2019	\$4305.55
12/2019	\$1805.55
Total:	\$7,166.65

- The Receiver has confirmed that several Lear Jets identified by the Defendants as assets of the Receivership Estate held in the name of Marquis Air, LLC were transferred away or repossessed prior to appointment of the Receiver.
- The Receiver has identified and investigated the value of distressed real properties that remained within the Receivership Estate at the time of the appointment of the Receiver. She concluded that none of the real property assets that remained in the receivership estate are of sufficient value to provide a meaningful recovery to the Receivership Estate, and they present significant liabilities. Therefore, the Receiver abandoned the properties with Court approval. After filing her abandonment motion, the Receiver identified one additional bare lot in Marquis Properties' possession that will cost more to sell or to abandon than the value of

the property, which is estimated to be approximately \$500. The Receiver was notified before her last fee application that this property was subject to an imminent tax sale. Accordingly, the Receiver does not presently intend to file a motion to abandon that single low-value lot and to allow the tax sale process to proceed.

- Only a few items of personal property are believed to remain in the Receivership Estate. The Receiver discovered evidence that personal property previously disclosed by the Defendants was auctioned or pawned in violation of the Court's Asset Freeze Order from January 16, 2016 through December 2017. A fake Rolex watch was physically returned to the Receiver by a recipient of fraudulent transfers. That watch is held in the Receiver's offices. The Receiver is also aware of a handgun that was fraudulently transferred to a transferee. She instructed the transferee to hold it in safekeeping as a frozen asset until receipt of further directions from the Receiver.
- On October 27, 2017, the Receiver deposited into the receivership account the proceeds of her liquidation of the contents of a storage shed belonging to Marquis Enterprises' prior land lord, Steve Black. The Receiver and Mr. Black previously agreed that, although they had competing claims to the assets, they would preserve the value of the furnishings kept in the storage shed from mounting storage fees by liquidating those assets prior to resolution of their dispute. Therefore, the proceeds of the liquidation (performed by Statewide Auction Company) equaling \$6,034.72 were retained by the Receiver and deposited into the receivership account subject to resolution of the Receiver's ongoing negotiations with Mr. Black regarding his claim to the same furnishings. The Receiver has now resolved that negotiation, pursuant to which she returned \$1,206.94 of the proceeds by check made out from the receivership account to Mr. Black.

7. Description of Unliquidated Claims

Through the last quarter of 2017 and the first three quarters of 2018, the Receiver investigated and asserted approximately 100 demands for repayment of fraudulent transfers. In response, many of the transferees entered into tolling agreements to allow time for the Receiver to obtain evidence of any defenses raised by the transferees, including any assertions of inability to pay a judgment, and then to negotiate with the transferees concerning settlement. The Receiver initially obtained tolling agreements on more than \$2 million dollars in claims. By

October 31, 2018, the Receiver had filed ten lawsuits. These lawsuits are identified in the chart below, together with the amount of damages initially claimed:

Case	Minimum Damages Claimed
<i>Windham v. Allen, et al.</i> , 2:18-cv-00054-JNP	\$1,064,281
<i>Windham v. Corey, et al.</i> , 2:18-cv-00065-EJF	\$614,831
<i>Windham v. Norville, et al.</i> , 2:18-cv-00057-EJF	\$1,061,175
<i>Windham v. Ringer, et al.</i> , 2:18-cv-00060-BCW	\$121,320
<i>Windham v. Shults, et al.</i> , 2:18-cv-00062-PMW	\$300,000
<i>Windham v. Snyder, et al.</i> , 2:18-cv-00063-BCW	\$200,000
<i>Windham v. Real Estate Investor Support, LLC, et al.</i> 2:18-cv-00059-BCW	\$108,700
<i>Windham v. Zurixx, LLC, et al.</i> 2:18-cv-00056-BCW	\$214,500
<i>Windham v. Hill</i> 2:18-cv-00317-PMW	\$27,000
<i>Windham v. Deucher, et al.</i> 2:18-cv-00428-TS-PMW	\$760,325

By the date of this fee application, the Receiver also obtained default judgments or default judgments were pending against the following individuals in the following amounts:

Defendant(s)	Judgment Requested	Reference
Dawn Powers	\$1,183.21	<i>Windham v. Shults</i> , Dkt. 71 (Judgment entered)
Steve Wollaston & Darcy Wollaston	\$23,411.05	<i>Windham v. Deucher</i> , Dkt. 41 (Judgment entered)
Shults entity defendants	\$23,593.67	<i>Windham v. Shults</i> , Dkt. 78 (Judgment entered)
Brian M. Christensen	\$15,134.14	<i>Windham v. Allen</i> , Dkt. 200 (Judgment entered)
Brian M. Christensen & Renewable Rentals jointly and severally	\$2,364.71	<i>Windham v. Allen</i> , Dkt. 201 (Judgment entered)
Ryan Farr	\$47,953.98	<i>Windham v. Allen</i> , Dkt. 197 (Judgment entered)
Garrett Deucher & Anne Deucher	\$300,000.00	Bankruptcy of Garrett and Anne Deucher ³
Total:	\$413,640.76	

³ Garrett Deucher and Anne Deucher agreed to settle the Receiver's claims against them by consenting to entry of a \$300,000 unsecured claim in the Deuchers' bankruptcy case.

The Receiver's analysis of collection opportunities with respect to these judgments is ongoing.

By September 30, 2019, the Receiver has also resolved her claims with respect to some of the defendants referenced in the above-referenced litigation. The case *Windham v. Ringer* has been dismissed after the defendants paid the agreed upon settlement. The case *Windham v. Hill* has been settled and has been dismissed after the defendant gave the agreed upon consideration. The defendants in the case *Windham v. Deucher* who were not the subject of the court's default judgment have settled in full. The case *Windham v. Snyder* is stayed by order of the Court pending collateral criminal action against the Snyder defendants. The Receiver also obtained court approval to dismiss and has dismissed the cases *Windham v. Real Estate Investor Support, LLC* and *Windham v. Zurixx*. The Receiver has obtained court approval to also dismiss the case *Windham v. Corey*.

In the Receiver's Tenth Status Report, the Receiver estimated that the total value of remaining claims asserted by the Receiver is equal to \$1-2 million in claims against transferees. However, the Receiver cannot at the present date give an accurate prediction of the value the Receiver will be able to collect via litigation or settlement. The Court has previously approved settlement with certain transferees based on ability to pay where transferees provided rigorous financial disclosures and sworn declarations concerning their financial means. The collectability of the Receiver's default judgments also remains uncertain.

8. Current and Previous Billings

This is the seventh interim fee application submitted by the Receiver to the Court. The total fees billed for the period from July 1, 2019 through September 30, 2019 for the Receiver and for counsel for the Receiver are broken down by timekeeper as follows:

Person Billing	Hourly Rate	Hours Billed	Total Fees Billed 1/1/2019- 6/30/2019
Receiver			
Maria E. Windham	\$250	26	\$6,500.00
RQN Attorney Fees			
Kristine Larsen	\$285	0.5	\$142.50
Jamie Sorenson	\$285	1.1	\$313.50
Brit Merrill	\$285	129.3	\$36,850.50
Susan Seder	\$135	28.8	\$3,888.00
	Subtotal	159.7	\$41,194.50
Deiss Law Attorney Fees			
Wess Felix	\$285	1.4	\$399.00
Matthew Kaufmann	\$285	25.8	7350.00
Zach Abend (paralegal)	\$135	1.0	\$135.00
	Subtotal	28.2	\$7,884.00
Total – Receiver’s Fees		26.0	\$6,500
Total - Attorneys’ Fees		187.9	\$49,078.50
Total – Expenses (RQN: \$0+ Deiss Law: \$0)			0
Total Billing 7/1/19-9/30/19		213.9	\$55,578.50

Excluding the 20% holdback the Court applies to fees, the Court should approve the Receiver’s fees for the period from July 1, 2019 through September 30, 2019 in the amount of **\$5,200** attorneys’ fees for the same period in the amount of **\$39,262.80** and costs in the amount of **\$0**.

The fees and expenses requested in this Eighth Interim Fee Application, together with the unpaid fees that remain unpaid pursuant to the Sixth Interim Fee Application exceed the September 30, 2019 account balance of **\$3,906.77** available for payment from the Receivership

account as of that date. The Receiver therefore requests that the Court approve the Receiver's Eighth Fee Application for later payment upon receipt of sufficient additional funds.

The following tables provide a more detailed description of the fee requests submitted to the Court, amounts previously paid, the accumulating fee application and holdback balances, and the amount of payment the Receiver requests that the Court approve upon submission of this application.

Receiver's Fees						
Dates	Amount Billed	20% Holdback	Amount Due	Amount Paid by Court Order	Balance Excluding Holdback	Accumulated Holdback Balance
1/2017-6/2017	\$55,225.00	\$11,045.00	\$44,180.00		\$44,180.00	\$11,045.00
1/2018				(\$44,180) (1 st Fee Application)	0	\$11,045.00
7/2017-12/2017	\$43,575.00	\$8,715.00	\$34,860.00		\$34,860.00	\$19,760.00
1/2018-3/2018	\$59,875.00	\$11,975.00	\$47,900.00		\$82,760.00	\$31,735.00
5/2018				(\$34,860) (2 nd Fee Application)	\$47,900.00	\$31,735.00
4/2018-6/2018	\$54,025.00	\$10,805.00	\$43,220.00		\$91,120.00	\$42,540.00
7/2018-9/2018	\$21,475.00	\$4,295.00	\$17,180.00		\$108,300.00	\$46,835.00
12/2018				(\$91,120) (3 rd & 4 th Fee Applications)	\$17,180.00	\$46,835.00
10/2018-12/2018	\$13,575.00	\$2,715.00	\$10,860.00		\$28,040.00	\$49,550.00
1/2019-6/2019				(\$17,180.00) (5 th Fee Application)	\$10,860.00	\$49,550.00
1/2019-6/2019	\$9,150.00	\$1,830.00	\$7,320.00		\$18,180.00	\$51,380.00

9/2019				10,860.00 (6 th Fee Application)	\$7,320.00	\$51,380.00
7/2019-9/2019	\$6,500.00	\$1,300.00	\$5,200.00		\$12,520.00	\$52,680.00

Attorney's Fees						
Dates	Amount Billed	20% Holdback	Amount Due	Amount Paid by Court Order	Balance Excluding Holdback	Accumulated Holdback Balance
1/2017-6/2017	\$147,030.00	\$29,406.00	\$117,624.00		\$117,624.00	\$29,406.00
1/2018				(\$117,624) (1 st Fee Application)	0	\$29,406.00
7/2017-12/2017	\$36,990.00	\$7,398.00	\$29,592.00		\$29,592.00	\$36,804.00
1/2018-3/2018	\$105,596.55	\$21,119.31	\$84,477.24		\$114,069.24	\$57,318.81
5/2018				(\$29,592.00) (2 nd Fee Application)	\$84,477.24	\$57,318.81
4/2018-6/2018	\$92,845.95	\$18,569.19	\$74,276.76		\$158,754.00	\$75,888.00
7/2018-9/2018	\$65,288.25	\$13,057.65	\$52,230.60		\$210,984.60	\$88,945.65
12/2018				(\$158,754.00) (3 rd and 4 th Fee Applications)	\$52,230.60	\$88,945.65
10/2018-12/2018	\$45,057.00	9,011.40	\$36,045.60		\$88,276.20	\$97,957.05
1/2019-6/2019				(\$52,230.60) (5 th Fee Application)	\$36,045.60	\$97,957.05
1/2019-6/2019	\$54,521.25	10,904.25	\$43,617.00		\$79,662.60	\$108,861.30
9/2019				\$36,045.60 (6 th Fee Application)	\$43,617.00	\$108,861.30
7/2019-9/2019	\$49,078.50	\$9,815.70	\$39,262.80		\$82,879.80	\$118,677.00

Expenses						
Dates	Amount Billed	20% Holdback	Amount Due	Amount Paid by Court Order	Balance Excluding Holdback	Accumulated Holdback Balance
1/2017-6/2017	\$13,874.51	\$2774.90	\$11,099.61		\$11,099.61	\$2,774.90
1/2018				(\$11,099.61) (1 st Fee Application)	0	\$2,774.90
7/2017-12/2017	\$7,978.80	\$1,595.76	\$6,383.04		\$6,383.04	\$4,370.66
1/2018-3/2018	\$14,968.39	\$2,993.68	\$11,974.71		\$18,357.75	\$7,364.34
5/2018				(\$6,403.04) (2 nd Fee Application)	\$11,954.71	\$7,364.34
4/2018-6/2018	\$3,960.26	\$792.05	\$3,168.21		\$15,122.92	\$8,156.39
7/2018-9/2018	\$2,337.20	\$467.44	\$1,869.76		\$16,992.68	\$10,026.15
12/2018				(\$15,122.92) (3 rd & 4 th Fee Applications)	\$1,869.76	\$10,026.15
10/2018-12/2018	\$1,868.82	373.76	\$1,495.06		\$3,364.82	\$10,399.91
1/2019-6/2019				(\$2,106.30) (5 th & 6 th Fee Applications)	0 (corrected)	\$10,085.28 (corrected)
1/2019-6/2019	\$117	\$23.40	\$93.60		\$93.60	\$10,108.68
7/2019-9/2019	0	0	\$0		\$93.60	\$10,108.68

9. Standardized Fund Accounting Report

The SEC's Standardized Fund Accounting Report ("SFAR") for July 1, 2019 until September 30, 2019 is attached hereto as Exhibit A.

III. CERTIFICATION OF RECEIVER

I, Maria E. Windham, the Receiver and applicant hereby certify as follows:

(a) I have read the above Application;

(b) to the best of my knowledge, information and belief formed after reasonable inquiry, the above Application and all fees and expenses therein are true and accurate and comply with the Billing Instructions;

(c) all fees contained in the Application are based on the rates listed in the fee schedule included herein and such fees are reasonable;

(d) I have not included in the amount for which reimbursement is sought the amortization of the cost of any investment, equipment, or capital outlay (except to the extent that any such amortization is included within the permitted allowable amounts set forth herein for photocopies and facsimile transmission); and

(e) in seeking reimbursement for a service which the I justifiably purchased or contracted for from a third party (such as copying, imaging, bulk mail, messenger service, overnight courier, computerized research, or title and lien searches), I request reimbursement only for the amount billed to me by the third party vendor and paid by me to such vendor. To the extent such services were performed by me, I certify I am not making a profit on such reimbursable service.

DATED this 4th day of December, 2019.

/s/ Maria E. Windham

By Maria E. Windham, Receiver

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 4th day of December, 2019.

RAY QUINNEY & NEBEKER P.C.

/s/ Jascha K. Clark

Kristine M. Larsen

Jascha K. Clark

Attorneys for the Receiver, Maria E. Windham

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this 4th day of December, 2019, I caused a true and correct copy of the foregoing **RECEIVER'S EIGHTH INTERIM FEE APPLICATION FOR SERVICES RENDERED FROM JULY 1, 2019 THROUGH SEPTEMBER 30, 2019** to be filed with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system, which sent notification of such filing to the following:

Amy Oliver
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
351 S WEST TEMPLE STE 6.100
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84101
Email: olivera@sec.gov

Cheryl M. Mori
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
351 S WEST TEMPLE STE 6.100
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84101
Email: moric@sec.gov

Daniel J. Wadley
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
351 S WEST TEMPLE STE 6.100
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84101
Email: wadleyd@sec.gov

Matthew R. Howell
FILLMORE SPENCER LLC
3301 N UNIVERSITY AVE
PROVO, UT 84604
Email: mhowell@fslaw.com

Justin D. Heideman
HEIDEMAN & ASSOCIATES
2696 N UNIVERSITY AVE STE 180
PROVO, UT 84604
Email: jheideman@heidlaw.com

Armand J. Howell
HALLIDAY WATKINS & MANN PC
376 E 400 S STE 300
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84111
Email: armand@hwmlawfirm.com

Robert K. Hunt
robert_hunt@fd.org

And via email on the following non-CM/ECF participant:

Richard Clatfelter
rick@rickclatfelter.com
Pro Se

/s/ Ashley Rollins

Index of Exhibits

Exhibit A: SEC's Standardized Fund Accounting Report ("SFAR") for the period from July 1, 2019 to September 30, 2019.

Exhibit B: Fees for services rendered by the Receiver and counsel at the law firm of Ray Quinney & Nebeker and by counsel at the law firm of Deiss Law during the reporting period from July 1, 2019 through September 30, 2019 ****FILED UNDER SEAL****

Exhibit C: None.

1510115